UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,505	09/03/2004	Yoshihiro Hori	70456-056	2825
Gene Z Rubinso	7590 12/14/200 On	EXAMINER		
McDermott Wil	•	LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A		
600 13th Street N W Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
_			2439	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination			
10/506,505	HORI ET AL.			
	Art Unit			
Christian LaForgia	2439			

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 31 Aug	gust 2009.				
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following				
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pro lude reasons why a review is appropri is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r	ate.				
	nse continues to run from the receipt on munication, if no Notice of Appeal h					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.						
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con		ws:				
	conference has been held. The rejec ution on the merits remains closed. N					
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.						
All participants:						
(1) <u>Christian LaForgia</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Mike Simitoski</u> .	(4)					
/Christian LaForgia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439	/Michael J Simitoski/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2439	/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439				